

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,498	01/05/2001	Michael Yip	2717P030	5235	
8791	7590 10/29/2002				
BLAKELY :	BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025	DEVENTA FLOOR	WON, YOUNG N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	13	
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/755,498	YIP, MICHAEL					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Young N Won	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		in the Employees who	siahawaria latar da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:	, -						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1)To one of ordinary skill in the art, it is well known that a particular type of network can be connected to another type of network therfore, by merely stating in the claim that MAN is connected to the super-VLAN does not patentable distinguish the claimed invention over prior art. 2)The quote from Crinion "tag replacement is desired" clearly teaches replacing one tag for another, so clearly Crinion teaches this limitation. It is important to note that in order for a claimed subject matter to be patentable over prior art, ther needs to be clear, concise, and definite distinction over prior art, such as in how the modified bridge forwarding rule performs what is claimed.

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400